

# Convergence – A Method to Gather Public Opinion for the GWoT

## Description

From [www.dictionary.com](http://www.dictionary.com)

con·ver·gence (kn-vĕrjns)  
n.

1. The process of coming together or the state of having come together toward a common point.

That one definition is what I think I need for the following discussion.

The support for the Global War on Terror is slumping. I have an idea on how to get support from a broad cross section of the country, if not maybe the world. It is a summation of the current issues we are facing and comes from the headlines. All that is needed now is to figure out how to pull it together.

My first point of reference is the recent issues brought on by the New York Times disclosure of the NSA conducting electronic monitoring. The general impression is President Bush has ordered the surveillance of regular American citizens. This, in fact, if it were the case, would most certainly not be Constitutional, and everyone would agree. That's not the real case, but in order to find out what the al-Qaida operatives are up to, the monitoring has involved American citizens, who are at the other end of the phone call. Now, the mood of some people, mostly on the left side of the political spectrum, seems to be that protection from this monitoring should be afforded to the terrorists. This would now confer the same rights we have as Americans upon foreign nationals, making the application of the Constitutional right of the 4th Amendment universal. As of yesterday, certainly [CAIR and the ACLU are making their acceptance of this view an issue by filing a suit](#) to prevent the President from directing this surveillance be conducted.

Step 1: Leverage off this view of the left that terrorists are defacto American citizens. Place this note on the large easel in the front of the conference room.

Next stop: [Megan's Law](#). This is the Federal law that requires sex offenders to register with local law enforcement and provide their address. Put that on the large sheet in the front of the room.

Why is this a point to consider? For several years, and most recently, there is an almost psychotic reaction in the form of hatred to the presence of sex offenders. If we can harness this emotion, and focus it towards the terrorists, then we will have some real "will of the people" to go after the perpetrators, regardless of the expense. Soccer moms, and just about every body else really hates these people and therefore, they are a unifying force in the community.

The most [recent case in the news to illustrate this point is the sentencing of Mark Hulett by Judge Cashman in Vermont](#). The reaction in the media and on the radio and TV shows will let you know an overwhelming majority of people are truly upset.

Get yourself a copy of Loretta Napoleoni's [Insurgent Iraq](#). This book is centered on discussing al

Zarqawi, currently thought to be the leading al Qaeda commander in Iraq. Lots of interesting stuff in there, most of it in the first few chapters of the book tend to keep repeating that the Jews displaced the Palestinians, and those who came into Jordan then made it hard for the Jordanians, and that's why al Zarquawi became a terrorist., but I digress....

In the paperback edition from Seven Stories Press, on page 31, there is an interesint tidbit of useful information: al Zarquawi was in prison for drug possession and sexual assault. There you have it: the head AQ guy is a sexual offender. Before you say so what?, go back to my first point: The ACLU would have us treat non-US citizens as our own, remember? Toss in the fact that the [US Supreme Court has become accustomed to looking to foreign courts and laws to make decisions for US cases.](#)

Here's the strategy: based on those two points raised above, and that al Zarqawi, under US law, would be designated a sexual offender, leverage off the push to treat all persons of the world as if they had rights under the Constitution. It should not be selective, just when it's convinient for the foriegner's to claim protection, but it should be universal. In this instance, then the criminal acts committed should also be on the table. After all, isn't that what the ACLU has been trying to get for the detainees at Guantanamo Bay for the last several years, access to our court system?

There you have it. Current events and what the desires of the liberals are asking for can be used to now shine a light on who one of the main terrorist is, and therefore bring the rage against him, which will help end the fight in Iraq.

Just some idle thinking.

Update 1/19/2006: Consider the information in [this article about the marked increase in "honor killings" among the Palestinians:](#)

Palestinian feminist Abu Dayyeh Shamas claims that: "Men feel they have lost their dignity and that they can somehow restore it by upholding the family's honour. We've noticed recent cases are much more violent in nature; attempts to kill, rape, incest. There is an incredible amount of incest." One women's group reported over 400 cases of incest in the West Bank alone in 2002.

Rape and incest, both crimes make a sex offender of the perpetrator, and the volience in Israel attests to the Palestinians propensity towards terrorism, and the opening paragraph of the article links the terrorism with the sexual crimes:

When Israel began erecting a separation barrier in late 2003 to protect its citizens from the seemingly endless procession of suicide bombers, Palestinian society responded by redirecting its destructive urges inward. All revolutions are said ultimately to turn upon themselves and devour their own children. And, when suicide bombing became an increasingly difficult means of enhancing family prestige, Palestinians shifted the focus onto their female offspring to restore the balance.

A sad statment on the human condition.

Side note: I have called things like this to the attention to NOW in posts gone by, but, somehow, there

is no ruffled feathers in their camp. I would have thought their feelings for the oppressed women would certainly draw their outrage for all women, not just the culturally elite ones. NOW drops the ball again (and again, and again...)

Thanks to [Little Green Footballs](#) for the Open Thread!

**Category**

1. Political

**Date Created**

January 18, 2006

**Author**

default watermark