

## Stop the Murdoch (Flt 93) Memorial Blogburst: Crescent mosque violates the only physical requirement for design entries


### Description



Defenders of the crescent design for the Flight 93 memorial describe the landform around the crash site as a bowl shape that fairly dictates the use of a crescent design. On the Mike and Juliet Morning Show, Memorial Project Chairman John Reynolds was [asked](#) by host Mike Jerrick: “Why couldn’t you just use some other shape?” Reynolds cupped his hands together for the audience and insisted that the design had to be a crescent:

Because, if you do this with you hands, this is the land there. This bowl is America holding its heroes.

But in fact, the site is not a bowl shape at all, as one can tell by looking at the topo lines on the [site plan](#). The land slopes continually from north-northwest to south-southeast:



The Sacred Ground Plaza that marks the crash site sits between the crescent tips (above the 4).

Instead of following the rim of a bowl, the crescent starts on a ridgeline above the crash site and circles around to well below it, passing across the middle of a wetland that sits about 70 vertical feet below the crash site.

Not only is the crash site not a bowl, but the crescent actually does not fit the natural landform at all. Of all the designs entered in the design competition, Paul Murdoch's Crescent of Embrace is the only one that fails to meet the Memorial Project's single stated physical requirement: that design entries should "respect the rural landscape." (Scroll down to ["purpose."](#))

To create the full arc of the crescent, a raised causeway will have to be filled in across the [wetlands](#) that collect about half-way out the lower crescent arm:



This filling in of the wetlands would never be allowed in a private project. There are environmental laws against it.

To sneak his design past the requirement to leave the landscape undisturbed, Murdoch played a very clever trick. His preliminary Crescent of Embrace design did not build a causeway across the wetlands. It only showed a quarter circle of red maple walkway, with a natural footpath skirting around the bottom of the wetlands area instead of crossing it:



This [original crescent design](#) already had the flight path breaking the circle, turning it into what was called from the start the Crescent of Embrace, so it seems that Murdoch had in mind from the beginning to memorialize the terrorists' [circle-breaking/crescent-creating feat](#). He could well have had the basic geometry of his full [terrorist memorial mosque](#) already worked out, but he knew that he would never make the first cut if he broke the competition's one rule and violated the wetlands, so he only showed a little bit of crescent, and had his innocuous looking footpath skirt the wetlands.

To turn his preliminary design into a full Islamic crescent, Murdoch needed to build his causeway. How

did he justify this violation of the wetlands? With typical brass, declaring that the causeway created a “healing landscape”:

Here visitors will be most aware of continuously connected living systems as the circular path literally bridges the hydrology of the Bowl. [[“Wetlands,”](#) p. 5.]

The highway department should hire this guy for P.R.. He could sell the environmentalists on how close a new road will bring them tonature. Why, they will be “literally bridging it!” What could be better? Good pitch. The Memorial Project bought it.

Most remarkable is Patrick White, vice president of Families of Flight 93. In private conversation at the Memorial Project’s July 2007 meeting, White told one of [Alec Rawls’s compatriots](#) that an expensive drainage system had been developed for the crescent design and that no other design *could* work on the site because this elaborate drainage system would only work with the crescent design.

Duh. The crescent design is the only design out of all thousand submitted that *needs* a drainage system. Every other design left the wetland untouched, as the Memorial Project had asked. Yet these people all really seem to mean it when they insist that this is the only design that fits the land.

Didn’t they notice that not one of the other thousand designs was a crescent? How could that be, if the landform really dictated a crescent? How did they get so wrapped in the emotion of the crescent’s “healing embrace” that they can’t see anything else?

Because Paul Murdoch is an artistic genius who had these grieving people in the palms of *his* hands. The man is diabolical!

### **Stop the Memorial Blogburst**

[1389 Blog – Antijihadist Tech](#)

[A Defending Crusader](#)

[A Fine Line Between Stupid and Clever](#)

[And Rightly So](#)

[Big Dog’s™ Weblog](#)

[Big Sibling](#)

[Cao’s™ Weblog](#)

[Cao’s™ Blog](#)

[Chaotic Synaptic Activity](#)

[Error Theory](#)

[Faultline USA](#)

[Flanders Fields](#)

[Flopping Aces](#)

[Four Pointer](#)

[Freedom’s™ Enemies](#)

[Ft. Hard Knox](#)

[GM’s™ Corner](#)

[Hoosier Army Mom](#)

[Ironic Surrealism II](#)

[Jack Lewis](#)  
[Kenderâ€™s Musings](#)  
[My Own Thoughts](#)  
[Nice Deb](#)  
[Ogreâ€™s Politics and Views](#)  
[Part-Time Pundit](#)  
[Right on the Right](#)  
[Right Truth](#)  
[Stix Blog](#)  
[Stop the ACLU](#)  
[The Renaissance Biologist](#)  
[The View From the Turret](#)  
[The Wide Awakes](#)  
[Thunder Run](#)

If you want to join the blogroll/blogburst for the Crescent of Betrayal blogburst, email Cao at caoilfhionn1 at gmail dot com, with your blogâ€™s url address. The blogburst will be sent out once a week to the participants, for simultaneous publication on this issue on **Wednesdays**.

#### **Category**

1. Leadership
2. Political

#### **Date Created**

January 2, 2008

#### **Author**

admin

default watermark